IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LUFKIN DIVISION

| ROSCOE WALLACE | § | |
|---|----------|------------------------------|
| VS. | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:16-CV-102 |
| MANAGEMENT AND TRAINING CORPORATION ("MTC") AND DANIEL DRISKELL | § | |

MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff, Roscoe Wallace, an inmate represented by counsel, Tammy Peden, filed the above-referenced civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendants Management and Training Corporation ("MTC") and Warden David Driskell.

The Court referred this matter to the Honorable Keith Giblin, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this Court. The Magistrate Judge recommends defendants' 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss be denied.

The Court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such order, along with the record, and pleadings. Defendants filed objections to the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

After careful consideration of the objections and responses thereto, the court finds defendants' objections lacking in merit. Defendants continue to argue that plaintiff has plead a negligence or premise liability claim which does not support a Section 1983 claim. Although this is a correct statement of the law with respect to Section 1983 claims, defendants continue to ignore the facts as specifically plead by plaintiff in this case. As outlined by the Magistrate Judge, plaintiff has plead sufficient facts to survive the "facial plausibility" standard. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1927 (2009); *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007). Plaintiff has plead specifically

a claim for deliberate indifference and has not plead a claim for negligence or premise liability. Plaintiff has also plead sufficient personal involvement of both defendants and has plead facts sufficient to suggest the defendants knew of, and disregarded, an "excessive risk" to plaintiff's health or safety.

ORDER

Accordingly, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and the report of the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED**.

So Ordered and Signed

Mar 10, 2017

Ron Clark, United States District Judge

Rom Clark